

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED,

Case No. CV 13-04078 SI

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE
TO THE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE**

12 v.

13 EVALUESOFTWARE.COM, LLC; JUSTIN
14 CATES,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/


17 On May 16, 2014, plaintiff Adobe Systems Inc. ("Adobe") filed a notice of conditional
18 settlement, stating that the parties had reached a settlement in the action. Docket No. 25. In light of the
19 settlement, the Court dismissed the action with prejudice on May 19, 2014. Docket No. 26. On July
20 10, 2014, plaintiff Adobe and defendants eValuesoftware.com, LLC and Cates filed a stipulation for the
21 entry of a permanent injunction against the two defendants and dismissal of the entire action with
22 prejudice. Docket No. 27. In the stipulation, the parties for the first time referred to defendant Cates
23 as "Justin Cates ('Cates') through his guardian and conservator." *Id.* at 1. In the stipulation, the parties
24 did not explain why defendant Cates has a guardian and conservator or state who is his guardian and
25 conservator. Therefore, on July 22, 2014, the Court ordered the parties to show cause as to why the
26 permanent injunction should be entered against defendant Cates. Docket No. 29.

27 On August 1, 2014, Adobe filed a response to the Court's order to show cause. Docket No. 30.
28

1 The Court has reviewed Adobe's response and finds that its has satisfactorily addressed the Court's
2 concerns regarding defendant Cates. Accordingly, the previously issued permanent injunction shall
3 remain in effect. Docket No. 28.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: August 6, 2014

7 
8 _____
9 SUSAN ILLSTON
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28